



Sh. Hansa Singh, S/o Sh Maachhi Singh,
R/o village Shamshabad,
Teshil & Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o MD, PUNSUP,
SCO-36-40, Sector-34-A,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate authority,
O/o MD, PUNSUP,
SCO-36-40, Sector-34-A,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 780 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Hansa Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Amandeep Singh, Sr.Auditor for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 27.05.2021, has sought a copy of the complete file regarding an enquiry report (on the charge sheet dated 07.02.2019) submitted in the Head Office, PUNSUP, by Shri B.C. Gupta, Enquiry Officer and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the Managing Director, PUNSUP, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.07.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case came up for hearing on 29.08.2022 through video conferencing at DAC, Fazilka. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent present pleaded that the decision on the matter is pending, the information cannot be provided, and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 02.07.2021.

As per the appellant, the enquiry had already been completed by Sh.B.C.Gupta, enquiry officer and the enquiry report was submitted to the head office on 23.02.2021.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that the RTI application was dated 27.05.2021, whereas, as per the appellant, the enquiry report was submitted to the head office on 23.02.2021 before the filing of the RTI.

The respondent was directed to file an affidavit at the next hearing (30/08/2022) giving the exact date when the enquiry report was submitted to the MD.

Hearing dated 30.08.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. The respondent has submitted an affidavit which has been taken on record. As per the affidavit submitted by the respondent, the enquiry report was submitted by the enquiry officer on 14.12.2020 to the Head office and charges were proved. As per the affidavit, the enquiry report has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 20.04.2021. The respondent has also attached a copy of the enquiry report with the affidavit.

Appeal Case No. 780 of 2022

The respondent further informed that the final decision on the enquiry report has yet to be taken by the MD.

No further interference is required in the matter as the matter is still pending a decision. The case is **disposed of**.

Chandigarh
Dated 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Kamalpreet Singh
134, Basant City,
Tharika, P.O.Lalton Kalan,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon
Patiala.

..... Respondents

Appeal Case No.443 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Kamalpreet Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Malwinder Singh, Distt.Pharmacy Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through the RTI application dated 12.10.2020, has sought information regarding the exact name of Pathology, Microbiology, Histopathology or any other tests conducted by the laboratory of Health department Bathinda since 2010-11 in which chemicals namely potassium iodide, N-Hexane, carbon Tetra Chloride are used— a copy of current stock position on 12.10.2020 from 2010-11 of all chemicals and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon Bathinda. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.11.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 16.08.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Patiala. The respondent was absent. As per a letter received from the PIO on 20.05.2021, the information had been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 25.01.2021 and again on 10.05.2021.

The appellant was not satisfied with the supplied information. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies to the PIO with a copy to the Commission, and the PIO was directed to sort out the discrepancies and provide whatever information is available on record.

The PIO was directed to appear at the next hearing.

On the date of the hearing on **11.01.2022**, the respondent informed that the information had already been provided to the appellant.

The appellant was not satisfied and informed that in the reply/letter dated 25.01.2021 & 10.05.2021, relating to information on point-b, the respondent said that they neither received any such chemical nor they had used these chemicals in any kind of testing whereas, in one letter No.41 dated 07.01.2021, it was informed that Carbon Tetra – Chloride (1x2.5 Ltrs) is lying surplus in PHC Shatruana Patiala. The appellant also sent copies of these letters via email, which were taken on the file of the Commission.

Appeal Case No.443 of 2021

Having gone through the RTI application and the discrepancies pointed out by the appellant, the PIO was given one last opportunity to reconcile and sort out the discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant.

On the date of the hearing on **30.05.2022**, the Respondent present pleaded that the discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant have been sorted out, and the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 17.05.2022 & again on 25.05.2022.

The Commission also received a copy of a letter dated 17.05.2022 from the PIO on 20.05.2022 and a copy of a letter dated 25.05.2022 through email, which was taken on record.

Due to some other urgent VC relating to the CM office in the DAC Ludhiana, the appellant could not be heard. However, the Commission received a copy of discrepancies from the appellant through email, which was taken on record. The PIO was directed to sort out the discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant, a copy of which was sent to the PIO along with the order.

On the date of the last hearing on 16.06.2022 Sh.Malwinder Singh from the office of Civil Surgeon, Patiala, was present and informed that the complete information had already been provided and no other information is available in the record.

The appellant stated that the information was incomplete and misleading as, on one side, the PIO was claiming that no stock of material which was purchased for Patiala District lies with them, but on the other, claimed that such material was not used in the District.

Hearing both the parties, the Commission found that there was prima-facie evidence of the information not being disbursed accurately and accordingly, made the Civil Surgeon Patiala the deemed PIO under the powers vested under section 5 (5) of the RTI Act. The Civil Surgeon was directed to file an appropriate reply on the matter and also submit an affidavit that the information that has been provided is true, and complete and no other information is available in the record relating to this RTI application.

Hearing dated 30.08.2022 :

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the information as well as an affidavit has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant has received the information and the affidavit.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Nitin Kumar,
C/o Police Public Dairy,
15-A, Shastri Nagar, Model Town,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Kapurthala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 307 of 2022

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant
 Dr.Sandeep Singh for the Respondent**

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 19.08.2021 has sought information on 05 points regarding comparative statements for purchase of medicines, etc from 01.07.2020 to 19.08.2021 – list of collection/amount from the public in hospital (daily) – list of medicines purchased and repair in hospital – list of all type of funds/grants received in civil Hospital Kapurthala and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SMO-Civil Hospital Kapurthala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 06.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case was last heard on 22.06.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/ Kapurthala. As per the appellant, the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent nor was represented. The Commission directed the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** The PIO was again directed to provide information to the appellant within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

Hearing dated 30.08.2022 :

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/ Kapurthala. The respondent present pleaded that the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.08.2021 and the complete information has been provided to the appellant on 18.07.2022. The PIO has also sent a reply to the show cause notice, which has been taken on record. In the reply, the PIO has mentioned that the appellant has sought information vide RTI application dated 19.08.2021 whereas the respondent inadvertently misinterpreted the letter dated 18.08.2021 issued by Director Health & Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh and could not supply the proper information to the appellant. Now, after collecting the information, as sought by the appellant, the same has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 18.07.2022.

The plea of the respondent is accepted, and the show cause is dropped.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Appeal Case No. 307 of 2022

The appellant is absent and taken the recourse of communicating via a letter (16.08.2022) . In the letter the appellant has pointed out some discrepancies in the provided information to the PIO on 08.08.2022 with a copy to the Commission. The appellant has also attached a copy of the information received by him from the PIO on 18.07.2022.

This bench is not inclined to adjudicate matters through mere letters/emails, however, the PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant on 08.08.2022 and provide complete information as per the RTI application.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Kamalpreet Singh,
134, Basant City, Pakhowal Road,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health C/o Deputy Director,
Stores Purchase Officer, Health,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Director,
Health and Family welfare,
C/o Deputy Director,
Stores Purchase Officer, Health,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1108 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Kamalpreet Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Gurpreet Singh, Sr.Assistant (Store Purchase Branch) for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 31.08.2021, has sought the certified copy of the shortlisted documents of the entire markings/proceedings/correspondence made in all the files related with the issue of the appellant's e-mail dated 05.04.2021 and 02.07.2021 – copies of entire purchase orders placed for Tarn Taran district in rate contract No.15919 dated 08.11.2010 in view of the civil surgeon Tarn Taran letter No.44 dated 01.01.2021 – copies of correspondences made with M/s. RFCL Mohali and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of the Director, Health & Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO 25.10.2021 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 14.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are present at Chandigarh.

As per the respondent, the information has already been provided. The Commission has also received a reply from the PIO on 30.08.2022, which has been taken on record.

As per the appellant, the information is incomplete.

Hearing both the parties, the appellant is directed to inspect the record on 05.09.2022 at 11.00 AM by visiting the office of the PIO and get the relevant information.

The PIO is directed to allow inspection of the record and provide relevant information as per the RTI application.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Kamalpreet Singh,
134, Basant City, Pakhowal Road,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health C/o Deputy Director,
Stores Purchase Officer, Health,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare,
C/o Deputy Director,
Stores Purchase Officer, Health,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1109 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Kamalpreet Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Gurpreet Singh, Sr.Assistant (Store Purchase Branch) for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 07.09.2021 has sought the certified copy of the entire indents/requirements having received from the Civil Surgeon, Hoshiarpur and its subordinate offices in district Hoshiarpur against which purchase orders for surplus chemicals were placed in rate contract No.15919 dated 08.11.2010 which is the subject matter of CRM-M12646 of 2019 – copies of letters issued and received by DHS office from the Civil Surgeon Hoshiarpur and other subordinate offices in district Hoshiarpur from 01.01.2020 to 07.09.2021 including final action report and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of the Director, Health & Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh. The PIO provided the information vide letter dated 25.10.2021, but the appellant was dissatisfied with the provided information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 09.11.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Kamalpreet Singh,
134, Basant City, Pakhowal Road,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health C/o Deputy Director,
Stores Purchase Officer, Health,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Director,
Health and Family welfare,
C/o Deputy Director,
Stores Purchase Officer, Health,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1107 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Kamalpreet Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Gurpreet Singh, Sr.Assistant (Store Purchase Branch) for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 07.09.2021 has sought the certified copy of the entire indents/requirements having received from the Civil Surgeon, Muktsar and Health Department Labs of district Muktsar against the purchase orders for surplus chemicals in the rate contract No.15919 dated 08.11.2010 (which is the subject matter of CRM-M12646 of 2019) – copies of the letters issued and received by the O/o Director, Health & Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh from the Civil Surgeon, Muktsar and other subordinate offices in district Muktsar from 01.01.2020 to date 07.09.2021 including copies of the final action taken and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the Director, Health & Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 09.11.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are present at Chandigarh.

As per the respondent, the information has already been provided. The Commission has also received a reply from the PIO on 30.08.2022, which has been taken on record.

As per the appellant, the information is incomplete.

Hearing both the parties, the appellant is directed to inspect the record on 05.09.2022 at 11.00 AM by visiting the office of the PIO and get the relevant information.

The PIO is directed to allow inspection of the record and provide relevant information as per the RTI application.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Gurcharan Singh, S/o Sh. Gurbachan Singh,
VPO Tumber Bhan, Near High School,
Tumbar Bham (182), Ferozepur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Addl. Deputy Commissioner,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1079 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 27.11.2021 has sought the information that if any license is required for IELTS coaching. If yes, then the total fee for the same required to be deposited as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 12.01.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. Both the parties are absent.

The Commission has received a reply from the PIO on 17.06.2022 stating that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 16.06.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the reply is not in tandem with the RTI application since the appellant has asked if any license is required for IELTS coaching. The PIO is directed to provide a copy of such a rule if it exists.

No further interference of the commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Kamalpreet Singh,
134, Basant City, Pakhowal Road,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, Health
C/o Deputy Director,
Stores Purchase Officer, Health,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare,
C/o Joint Director,
Stores Purchase Officer, Health,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1106 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Kamalpreet Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Gurpreet Singh, Sr.Assistant (Store Purchase Branch) for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 07.09.2021 has sought certified copies of the entire indents/requirements having received from the subordinate office against which purchase order for papers of Axiva Make was placed by Director Health against rate contract No.7684 dated 20.05.2021 and rate contract No.640 dated 11.010.2016 with copies of all purchase orders /inspection notes on its allotments - provide certified copies of the letters issued and received by the O/o DHS from the O/O Civil Surgeons and all other health labs including chemical examiner to Govt. Punjab, Kharar from 01.01.2020 to 07.09.2021 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the Dy. Director, Stores Purchase Officer, Health Department, Punjab. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 14.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both parties are present at Chandigarh.

As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the complete information.

As per the respondent, the information has already been provided to the appellant. The respondent has also brought the record.

The Commission has also received a reply from the PIO on 30.08.2022, which has been taken on record.

Hearing both the parties, the appellant is directed to inspect the record on 05.09.2022 at 11.00 AM by visiting the office of the PIO and get the relevant information.

Appeal Case No. 1106 of 2022

The PIO is directed to allow inspection of the record and provide relevant information as per the RTI application.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Daljit Singh,
Ward NO-9-S, New Jawala Nagar,
Maqsudan, Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Dy. Commissioner,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o ADC, General,
Kapurthala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 879 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Gurjinder Singh, DRO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 07.11.2021 has sought the copies of Mutation No.1307 & 1417 with attached Village Talwandi Kuka -Mutation No.930 with attached documents Ibrahimwal – Mutation No.6822, 6874 and 7080 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kapurthala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 25.11.2021, which disposed of the appeal on 23.12.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Kapurthala. Sh.Gurjinder Singh, DRO Office of Deputy Commissioner, Kapurthala, is present. The DRO informed that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 16.12.2021 except mutation No.1307 since the record relating to this information is missing, and they are trying to trace out the same.

The respondent further informed that there are two parts of each mutation; one remains with concerned Patwari and the other with the DC office. The partial information relating to this mutation number available with the concerned patwari has also been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 21.07.2022. However, the partial information relating to this particular mutation is being traced since the record relating to this mutation was misplaced during the shifting of the DC office from the old building to the new administrative complex and the appellant has already been intimated in this regard.

The appellant is absent nor represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to.

Regarding the partial information relating to mutation number 1307, the record of which as per the respondent stands misplaced during the shifting of the DC office, I am directing the DC Kapurthala to constitute a committee to enquire into this missing document. And, if the document is not traceable, fix the accountability of the person under whose custody the document went missing.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgar, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Dy. Commissioner,
Kapurthala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 940 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 26.04.2021 has sought a copy of the list of challans issued for violation of traffic rules from January 2020 to date – date-wise information that under which sections of the traffic rules these challans were issued and the penalty imposed and collected and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the SDM, Kapurthala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 09.12.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Kapurthala/Ludhiana. Both parties are absent.

The appellant, vide email, has informed that he has received the information and his appeal case be filed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Smt. Neerja Bawa,
425-G, New Generation Apartments,
Dhaloli, Zirakpur, Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar, Phagwara,
New Sukhchain Nagar, Road,
Hargobind Singh Nagar,
Distt Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Tehsildar, Phagwara,
New Sukhchain Nagar, Road,
Hargobind Singh Nagar,
Distt Kapurthala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 761 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Ramesh Chander Bawa husband of the appellant for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 27.10.2021 has sought a copy of 6 sales deeds of the land sold by the appellant in the month of April(07.04.2021) and June 2021 along with the copy of ID proof of the buyers and their address annexed in the sale deed and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the Tehsildar, Phagwara. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 09.12.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Kapurthala. The appellant claims that out of six sale deeds registered, the information has been provided only for four of them.

The respondent is absent nor is represented.

The PIO is directed to provide the available information regarding the remaining two sale deeds. If there is any clarification that has to be sought, the appellant and the PIO may clarify via written or telephonically.

The information be provided immediately, and compliance of the same be sent to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, it is made clear that if the information is not supplied as per order, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgar, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Dy. Commissioner,
Kapurthala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 839 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 17.06.2021 has sought the list of the total number of applicants who, applied for test for learner and regular driving licenses from January 2021 to date alongwith the number of applicants who passed the test – name & designation of the officer who conducted the test – list of candidates who failed in the first instance and applied for the test again and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the SDM, Kapurthala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 04.12.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Kapurthala/Ludhiana. Both parties are absent.

The appellant, vide email has informed that he has received the information and that his appeal case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Tarun Wadhwa, S/o Sh Vijay Kumar,
R/o D-1598, Chawla Street, Adarsh Nagar,
Tehsil & Distt Fazilka.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Fazilka.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 117 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Tarun Wadhwa as the Complainant
Dr.Harkirat Singh, DHO Fazilka for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant, through an RTI application dated 18.10.2021 has sought information on 12 points (points a to l) regarding the names & designation of employees of Food Branch – certified copy of attendance register from 08.10.2021 to 14.10.2021 – a copy of station leave availed - movement/tour register – certified copy of letters/documents received containing movement/tour of FSO/officials - rules manifesting the authenticity of movement/tour register – a copy of leave applied by the employees and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Fazilka. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 02.03.2022.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the respondent, the information has already been provided to the appellant.

As per the appellant, the information is incomplete.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the following is concluded:

- | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| - Points a, e, f, i, j & k | - | Provided |
| - Point-b | - | To provide a copy of the attendance register |
| - Point-c | - | Not to be provided |
| - Point-d | - | To provide if available |
| - Point-g | - | Not to be provided |
| - Point-h | - | To provide if it exists in the record |
| - Point-l | - | The Information that is sought is vague |

The information be provided to the appellant at the earliest.

Further, if any appellant asks for CCTV footage under the RTI Act, the PIO is to preserve the CCTV footage for the demanded period immediately.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner